Before we get into algorithms, we will review and/or introduce some of the data structures we will be using all semester.

A good reference for these, along with reference implementations, is the Bailey text. It includes the structure package, some of which replicates features of standard Java API structures, others of which are unique to this package.

**Basic Linear Structures**

The basic linear structures are your standard “one-dimensional” list structures: arrays, linked lists, and strings.

Some characteristics of arrays:

- allow efficient contiguous storage of a collection of data
- efficient direct access to an arbitrary element by index
- cost of add/remove depends on index

Strings are usually built using arrays, and normally consist of bits or characters.

Important operations on strings include finding the length (whose efficiency depends on whether the strings is counted or null-terminated), comparing, and concatenating.

You have seen the idea of a linked list:

This structure is made up of a pointer to the first list element and a collection of list elements.

Let’s consider the complexity of our operations on a singly linked list.

- \(\text{add}(0) : 1 \text{ step}\)
• add(i): \(i\) steps
• add(n): \(n\) steps
• get/set(0): 1 step
• get/set(i): \(i\) steps
• get/set(n-1): \(n\) steps
• remove(0): 1 step
• remove(i): \(i\) steps
• remove(n-1): \(n\) steps
• get all values in sequence: about \(\frac{n^2}{2}\) steps (hey, we need an Iterator!)

How do these compare to similar operations on Vectors/ArrayLists?

• adding at the front is easier.
• adding at the end is harder.
• adding in the middle, well it depends where.
• the cost is consistent, though, since there is no reallocation and copying to grow the structure.
• removing at the front is easier.
• removing at the end is harder.
• removing in the middle is probably similar.
• getting/setting an arbitrary value is harder.

Iterators

How do we “visit” each item in a collection? With a Vector/ArrayList, or an array, it’s easy. We can write a for loop:

```java
public <T> void traverse(ArrayList<T> v) {
    int i;

    for (i=0; i<v.size(); i++) {
        T visitme = v.get(i);
        // do something with visitme
    }
}
```
But imagine if someone has changed the implementation of ArrayList. It no longer has an array, but a linked structure.

Notice that to get access to the \( n \)th element, we need to visit the first \( n - 1 \) elements. If our ArrayList contained one of these linked structures instead of an array, our traverse method suddenly becomes very inefficient.

This is not good. What is the complexity of \texttt{get()}? In order to get the item at position \( i \), we have to start at the beginning and we have to follow links until we find the right element.

What we want to do is to use the previous value returned, and take the one pointed to by the list element we just used to get that previous value. But how? We don’t have that information!

We often need a way of cycling through all of the elements of a data structure. Java provides exactly what we need: \texttt{java.util.Iterator\langle E\rangle}

A data structure can create an object of type \texttt{Iterator}, which can be used to cycle through the elements. For example, built-in Java classes Vector and ArrayList have methods:

\[
\text{public Iterator\langle E\rangle } \text{ iterator();}
\]

that we can print out the elements of Vector\langle E\rangle/ArrayList\langle E\rangle \ v as follows:

\[
\text{for (Iterator\langle E\rangle iter=v.iterator(); iter.hasNext(); )}
  \text{ System.out.println(iter.next());}
\]

Or in Java 5 and up, if our class implements the \texttt{Iterable} interface (which simply requires the method \texttt{iterator}) we can use the enhanced \texttt{for} loop (sometimes called a “for each” loop):

\[
\text{for (E item: v) }
  \text{ System.out.println(item);}
\]

---

**Stacks and Queues**

These basic structures are used for many purposes, including as building blocks for more restrictive linear structures: stacks and queues.

For a stack, additions (\textit{pushes}) and removals (\textit{pops}) are allowed only at one end (the top), meaning those operations can be made to be very efficient. A stack is a last-in first-out (LIFO) structure.

For a queue, additions (\textit{enqueues}) are made to one end (the rear of the queue) and removals (\textit{de-queues}) are made to the other end (the front of the queue). Again, this allows those operations to be made efficient. A queue is a first-in first-out (FIFO) structure.

A variation on a queue is that of a priority queue, where each element is given a “ranking” and the highest-ranked item is the only one allowed to be removed, regardless of the order of insertion. A
clever implementation using another structure called a *heap* can make both the insert and remove operations on a priority queue efficient.

---

**Trees**

In the structures we have studied so far, elements are very specifically ordered. In our list structures, we can refer to elements by an index. One way to think of this is that every element has *unique successor*.

In a tree, an element may have *multiple successors*.

We usually draw trees vertically, but upside-down, in computer science.

You won’t see trees in nature that grow with their roots at the top (but you can see some at Mass MoCA over in North Adams).

---

**Examples of Trees**

**Expression trees**

One example of a tree is an *expression tree*:

The expression

\[
(2*(4-1))+((2+7)/3)
\]

can be represented as

---

Once we have an expression tree, how can we evaluate it?

We evaluate left subtree, then evaluate right subtree, then perform the operation at root. The evaluation of subtrees is recursive.

**Tournament Brackets**

Another example is a tree representing a tournament bracket:
Tree of Descendants

One popular use of a tree is a pedigree chart – looking at a person’s ancestors. Instead, let’s look at a person’s descendants. (Example drawn in class).

Tree Definitions and Terminology

There are a lot of terms we will likely encounter when dealing with tree structures:

A tree is either empty or consists of a node, called the root node, together with a collection of (disjoint) trees, called its subtrees.

- An edge connects a node to its subtrees
- The roots of the subtrees of a node are said to be the children of the node.
- There may be many nodes without any children: These are called leaves or leaf nodes. The others are called interior nodes.
- All nodes except root have unique parent.
- A collection of trees is called a forest.

Other terms are borrowed from the family tree analogy:
• *sibling* – nodes sharing the same parent

• *ancestor* – a node’s parent, parent’s parent, *etc.*

• *descendant* – a node’s child, child’s child, *etc.*

Some other terms we’ll use:

• A *simple path* is a series of distinct nodes such that there is an edge between each pair of successive nodes.

• The *path length* is the number of edges traversed in a path (equal to the number of nodes on the path - 1)

• The *height of a node* is the length of the longest path from that node to a leaf.

• The *height of the tree* is the height of its root node.

• The *depth of a node* is the length of the path from the root to that node.

• The *degree of a node* is the number of its direct descendants.

• The idea of the *level of a node* is defined recursively:
  
  – The root is at level 0.
  – The level of any other node is one greater than the level of its parent.

Equivalently, the level of a node is the length of a path from the root to that node.

We often encounter *binary trees* – trees whose nodes are all have degree ≤ 2.

We will also orient the trees: each subtree of a node is defined as being either the *left* or *right*.

For binary trees, there are additional properties to consider, though not all terms are universally accepted to have the meanings below.

• a *full* binary tree is one in which every node has either 0 or 2 children (*i.e.*, no only children allowed)

• a *complete* binary tree is one where each level contains all possible nodes except the last, and any missing nodes must be all the way to the right

• a *perfect* binary tree is one where all levels in existence contain all possible nodes (some texts use this as the definition of a full tree)
Iterating over all values in linear structures is usually fairly easy. Moreover, one or two orderings of the elements are the obvious choices for our iterations. Some structures, like an array, allow us to traverse from the start to the end or from the end back to the start very easily. A singly linked list however, is most efficiently traversed only from the start to the end.

For trees, there is no single obvious ordering. Do we visit the root first, then go down through the subtrees to the leaves? Do we visit one or both subtrees before visiting the root?

There are four standard tree traversals, considered here in terms of binary trees (though most can be generalized):

1. *preorder* visit the root, then visit the left subtree, then visit the right subtree.
2. *in-order* visit the left subtree, then visit the root, then visit the right subtree.
3. *postorder* visit the left subtree, then visit the right subtree, then visit the root.
4. *level-order* visit the node at level 0 (the root), then visit all nodes at level 1, then all nodes at level 2, etc.

For example, consider the preorder, in-order, and postorder traversals of the expression tree

```
    /     2
   +     
  4 3 10 5
```

• preorder leads to prefix notation:
  / * + 4 3 - 10 5 2

• in-order leads to infix notation:
  4 + 3 * 10 - 5 / 2

• postorder leads to postfix notation:
  4 3 + 10 5 - * 2 /

---

**Sets and Dictionaries**

A *set*, just like in mathematics, is a collection of distinct *elements*.

There are two main ways we might implement a set.

If there is a limited, known group of possible elements (a *universal set*) $U$, we can represent any subset $S$ by using a *bit vector* with the bit at a position representing whether the element at that position in $U$ is in the subset $S$. 
If there is no universal set, or the universal set is too large (meaning the bit vector would also be large, even for small subsets), a linear structure such as a linked list of the elements of the set can be used.

A *dictionary* is a set (or *multiset*, if we allow multiple copies of the same element) which is designed for efficient addition, deletion, and search operations. The specific underlying implementation (array, list, sorted array, tree structure) depends on the expected frequency of the operations.

We will consider many of these data structures more carefully, and will see several more advanced data structures later in the course.