Mapping Applications with Collectives over Sub-communicators on Torus Networks

Abhinav Bhatele, Todd Gamblin, Steven H. Langer, Peer-Timo Bremer, Erik W. Draeger, Bernd Hamann, Katherine E. Isaacs, Aaditya G. Landge, Joshua A. Levine, Valerio Pascucci, Martin Schulz, Charles H. Still

SC '12 ♦ November 15, 2012

LLNL-PRES-605452





- What is mapping layout/placement of tasks/processes in an application on the physical interconnect
- Does not require any changes to the application







PAVE @ SC'12

- What is mapping layout/placement of tasks/processes in an application on the physical interconnect
- Does not require any changes to the application









- What is mapping layout/placement of tasks/processes in an application on the physical interconnect
- Does not require any changes to the application









- What is mapping layout/placement of tasks/processes in an application on the physical interconnect
- Does not require any changes to the application







- What is mapping layout/placement of tasks/processes in an application on the physical interconnect
- Does not require any changes to the application



- Goals:
 - Balance computational load
 - Minimize contention (optimize latency or bandwidth)



- Traditionally, research has focused on bringing tasks closer to reduce the number of hops
 - Minimizes latency, but more importantly link contention
- For applications that send large messages this might not be optimal







- Traditionally, research has focused on bringing tasks closer to reduce the number of hops
 - Minimizes latency, but more importantly link contention
- For applications that send large messages this might not be optimal







- Traditionally, research has focused on bringing tasks closer to reduce the number of hops
 - Minimizes latency, but more importantly link contention
- For applications that send large messages this might not be optimal





L₃

PAVE @ SC'12

- Traditionally, research has focused on bringing tasks closer to reduce the number of hops
 - Minimizes latency, but more importantly link contention
- For applications that send large messages this might not be optimal







Collectives





PAVE @ SC'12

Improving bandwidth utilization

- Placing communicating pairs farther apart in multiple dimensions to increase "spare links"
- Placing processes on a cube or plane instead of a line (collectives)
- Use wraparound links for additional routes





Rubik

• We have developed a mapping tool focusing on:

- structured applications that are bandwidth-bound, use collectives over sub-communicators
- built-in operations that can increase effective bandwidth on torus networks based on heuristics
- Input:
 - Application topology with subsets identified
 - Processor topology
 - Set of operations to perform
- Output: map file for job launcher







Idea of partition trees

- Recursive partitioning of n-D cartesian spaces
 - n can be 2, 3, 4, 5 or any other number
- Intermediate nodes in the tree represent
 - closely communicating groups in application space, or
 - sub-domains of processors in network space
- Leaf nodes represent processes in the application or nodes on the network







L 8

PAVE @ SC'12

domain = box([4, 4, 4])





PAVE @ SC'12

domain = box([4, 4, 4])





L⁸

PAVE @ SC'12

domain = box([4, 4, 4])







L 8

PAVE @ SC'12

domain = box([4, 4, 4])

domain.tile([4, 4, 2])







L ⁸

domain = box([4, 4, 4])

domain.tile([4, 4, 2])







PAVE @ SC'12

domain = box([4, 4, 4])

domain.tile([4, 4, 2])







PAVE @ SC'12

domain = box([4, 4, 4])
domain.tile([4, 4, 2])
for child in domain:
 child.tile([2, 4, 1])







L 8

PAVE @ SC'12

domain = box([4, 4, 4])
domain.tile([4, 4, 2])
for child in domain:
 child.tile([2, 4, 1])







PAVE @ SC'12



Partitioning operations

app = box([4, 4, 4])
app.div([2, 1, 4])





Ļ,

PAVE @ SC'12

Partitioning operations

app = box([4, 4, 4]) app.div([2, 1, 4])

app = box([4, 4, 4])
app.mod([2, 2, 2])







Ļ,

PAVE @ SC'12

Partitioning operations

app = box([4, 4, 4])
app.div([2, 1, 4])

app = box([4, 4, 4])
app.mod([2, 2, 2])

app = box([4, 4, 4])
app.cut([2, 2, 2],
 [div, div, mod])







PAVE @ SC'12





PAVE @ SC'12









PAVE @ SC'12













• Tilt



• Zorder







PAVE @ SC'12

• Tilt



• Zorder

• Zigzag

• Hierarchical Operations



PAVE @ SC'12

Application example

app = box([9,3,8]) # Create app partition tree of 27-task planes
app.tile([9,3,1])

network = box([6,6,6]) # Create network partition tree of 27-processor cubes
network.tile([3,3,3])

network.map(app) # Map task planes into cubes



Mapping pF3D

- A laser-plasma interaction code used at the National Ignition Facility (NIF) at LLNL
- Three communication phases over a 3D virtual topology:
 - Wave propagation and coupling: 2D FFTs within XY planes
 - Light advection: Send-recv between consecutive XY planes
 - Hydrodynamic equations: 3D near-neighbor exchange







Mapping pF3D

- A laser-plasma interaction code used at the National Ignition Facility (NIF) at LLNL
- Three communication phases over a 3D virtual topology:
 - Wave propagation and coupling: 2D FFTs within XY planes
 - Light advection: Send-recv between consecutive XY planes
 - Hydrodynamic equations: 3D near-neighbor exchange







Mapping pF3D

- A laser-plasma interaction code used at the National Ignition Facility (NIF) at LLNL
- Three communication phases over a 3D virtual topology:
 - Wave propagation and coupling: 2D FFTs within XY planes
 - Light advection: Send-recv between consecutive XY planes
 - Hydrodynamic equations: 3D near-neighbor exchange



	2048 cores		16384 cores	
MPI call	Total %	MPI %	Total %	MPI %
Send	4.90	28.45	23.10	57.21
Alltoall	8.10	46.94	7.30	18.07
Barrier	2.78	16.10	8.13	20.15



Default mapping

Baseline performance of pF3D on Blue Gene/P





PAVE @ SC'12

Communication breakdown



Comparison of different mappings on 2,048 cores



Visualizing network traffic using Boxfish



Scaling performance of pF3D



Execution time for different mappings of pF3D

Number of cores



PAVE @ SC'12

Summary

- Lots of time and energy spent in communication
- Bandwidth can't be optimized the same way as latency
- Rubik provides intuitive operations for quickly creating optimized task mappings
 - Close to 50% improvement for pF3D application
- Congestion and unstructured applications are still open problems

Download Rubik!

http://github.com/tgamblin/rubik





