

Computer Science 385 Design and Analysis of Algorithms Siena College Spring 2018

# **Topic Notes: Backtracking**

No Algorithms course would be complete without a discussion of the *n*-Queens Problem.

The problem is to place n queens on an  $n \times n$  chess board such that no queen can attack another in a single move. If you are not familiar with the rules of chess, a queen can move anywhere in her own row (i.e., rank), column (i.e., file), or either diagonal from her current location.

We'll start by considering a fairly small instance of this problem, where n = 4, and then the problem on a standard sized chess board where n = 8.

We have already considered some techniques that would allow us to come up with a solution here. We could use brute force to do an exhaustive search. The most brute force we could think of is to try all possible combinations of positions where we could place 4 queens among the 16 spaces.

How many such combinations exist? Think back to your discrete math, and we are choosing 4 values from among a set of 16, which is

$$\binom{16}{4} = \frac{16!}{4! \cdot 12!} = 1820$$

For each of these, we would need to do a non-trivial task: check that no two queens are in the same row, column, or diagonal. Of course, the above is worst case when all we want to do is to produce a single example. If we find a solution early in the search, we can report it and stop. To solve the more difficult problem of listing all valid configurations of queens, we would need to consider all of the combinations.

If we move up to the n = 8 case, this becomes:

$$\binom{64}{8} = \frac{64!}{8! \cdot 56!} = 4,426,165,368$$

In general, this value grows very quickly.

$$\binom{n^2}{n} = \frac{(n^2)!}{n! \cdot (n^2 - n)!}$$

We can do better.

We can make a significant improvement, even staying with what is still an exhaustive search, if we take into account the fact that each row will need to contain just one queen. For the n = 4 case, this means we would have 4 choices for each of the 4 rows, resulting in the much smaller

 $4^4 = 256$ 

which is better than 1820.

For n = 8, we get

$$8^8 = 16,777,216$$

which is a huge improvement, even though we're still looking at considering  $n^n$  possible configurations. That  $O(n^n)$  is still an incredibly large growth rate.

But again, we can be a little more careful about using some knowledge of the problem to eliminate parts of the search space. We have been thinking about placing one queen per row, but we can also fairly easily limit to one queen per column. Considering the n = 4 case, we can place the queen in the first row in any of the 4 columns. But once we've chosen a column for the first row, we are left with 3 choices for the column for the the second row. Once we've chosen that column, there are only two choices for the column for the third row, and that leaves just one choice for the fourth row. This looks like a factorial, and it is. For each of these candidate layouts, we again need to check that the queens cannot attack each other along diagonals.

Normally, we have not considered O(n!) to be a nice growth rate, but at least it's better than  $O(n^n)$ .

Perhaps a greedy approach would allow us to do even better. In a greedy approach, we would make a locally-optimal selection at each step. Let's say that an optimal selection is simply one that doesn't place the next queen in a location that would be able to attack an existing queen. Further, we will choose the lowest column in each row that results in a valid placement.

This does not lead to us finding a solution for the n = 4 case.

We need something that falls between greedy algorithms and a full exhaustive search. A process like a greedy algorithm that lets us undo a bad move.

The kind of algorithms we consider next are called *backtracking* algorithms. With a backtracking algorithm, we try different alternatives at each decision point, in turn, until we find a satisfactory solution.

A fundamental example here is maze running. If a choice to follow one path in the maze fails (leads to a dead end), we go back (backtrack) to the most recent decision point and try a different direction. If all directions from a given point have led to dead ends, we backtrack further to a prior decision point and try a different alternative.

Let's work through this idea with the 4-Queens problem. (In-class demo.)

This can be depicted in a state space search diagram, as seen in Figure 12.2 of Levitin.

The general form of a backtracking algorithm looks like the following:

ALGORITHM ISSOLUTION(*state*)

//Input: state current problem state (the result of moves so far)
//Output: boolean indicating if state is a complete solution to the problem

// (details are problem-dependent)

## ALGORITHM ISLEGAL(*state*, *move*)

//Input: state current problem state (the result of moves so far)

//Input: *move* a candidate move to add to the *state* 

//Output: boolean indicating if the applying move to state would generate a new legal state // (details are problem-dependent, examples of illegal states would be one that requires going // through a wall in a maze or placing a queen in a position that would be able to attack

// queens already placed in the state in the *n*-Queens problem)

## ALGORITHM NEXTMOVE(move)

//Input: move the previously-attempted move

//Output: the next move to make after move

// (details are problem-dependent, examples include the next direction to try in a maze,

// the next possible square to place a queen)

## ALGORITHM FIRSTMOVE

//Output: the first move to make after accepting a move into the state

// (details are problem-dependent, examples include going north first in a maze search,

// placing a queen in column 0 of the next row)

#### ALGORITHM MAKEMOVE(*state*, *move*)

//Input: *state* current problem state (the result of moves so far)

//Input: move a valid move to be added to the state

//Output: the new state with  $move \ {\rm applied} \ {\rm to} \ state$ 

## ALGORITHM BACKTRACKER(*state*, *move*)

//Input: *state* current problem state (the result of moves so far)

//Input: move next move to be attempted (null if no move could be determined)

//Output: the state representing a solution, null if none exists

**if** *IsSolution*(*state*) **then** 

// we have a solution! return it

return state

## $\mathbf{if} \ move = \mathbf{null} \ \mathbf{then} \\$

// path failed, return **null** to indicate this **return null** 

if not IsLegal(state, move) then

// cannot apply move to state, so try next move instead
return backtracker(state, nextMove(move))

// make move and continue

 $result \leftarrow backtracker(makeMove(state, move), firstMove())$ 

## if $result \neq$ null then

return *result* 

// the previous move failed, backtrack to try next
return backtracker(state, nextMove(move))

Specifically for the *n*-Queens problem, we can use backtracking as follows:

• A state is a list of k column positions (which we'll name *positions*), specifying where to

place queens in rows 1 through k.

- A move is the column position at which to try to place the queen in the next row (row k + 1 when the state contains k entries).
- isSolution(state) is replaced with a check that the *positions* list has *n* entries
- isLegal(state, move) and nextMove(move) are given in the algorithm.

returns whether adding a queen at column position move in the next row in the state would result in a state that contains no pair of queens in the same row, column, or diagonal.

- next move returns move+1, unless move is n, in which case it returns null to indicate that the next move would be off the edge of the board.
- firstMove() is simply the constant 1, to indicate that the first place to try to place a queen in a new row is at column position 1.
- makeMove(state, move) is simply the concatention of the position specified by the move to the list of column positions containing queens so far. It is important that this is a copy of the old list with the given move appended.

So our algorithm becomes:

#### **ALGORITHM** IsLEGAL(*positions*, *colInNextRow*)

//Input: positions[1..k] the list of column positions containing queens in rows 1 to k//Input: colInNextRow the column to attempt to place a queen in row k + 1//Output: boolean indicating if this is a legal placement return positions.contains(colInNextRow)

#### **ALGORITHM** NEXTMOVE(*n*, *move*)

```
//Input: n the board size
```

//Input: *move* the previous move attempted

//Output: the next move to make after move, null if none possible

```
if move < n then
```

return move + 1

else

## return null

#### **ALGORITHM** NQUEENS(*n*, *positions*, *colInNextRow*)

//Input: n the board size

```
//Input: positions[1..k] the list of column positions containing queens in rows 1 to k
//Input: colInNextRow the column to attempt to place a queen in row k + 1
```

//Output: a list of n column positions where to place queens in rows 1..n

#### if k = n then

// we have a solution! return it **return** *positions* 

if colInNextRow = null then

// path failed, return **null** to indicate this

return null if not IsLegal(positions, colInNextRow) then // cannot apply move to state, so try next move instead return NQueens(n, positions, nextMove(colInNextRow))) // make move and continue result ← NQueens(n, positions + colInNextRow, 1) if result ≠ null then return result // the previous move failed, backtrack to try next return NQueens(n, positions, nextMove(colInNextRow))

The whole process would begin in this case with a call NQueens(n, [], 1).

We will see this problem again in an upcoming lab.